



BLDE (DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY IN PUBLICATION

PREAMBLE:

BLDE (Deemed to be University) has framed publication policy that output of quality research should be freely accessible with financial, social and cultural barrier. The main objectives of this policy are to:

- i) To support and assist the faculty members, Research Scholars, Research Associates, and students for successful research output.
- ii) To enhance the prospect of their publications by gathering and exhibiting in public domain within the liability of copy right agreements.

PUBLICATION GUIDELINE:

With effect from 2019, BLDE (Deemed to be University) Publication Guideline requires:

- a. Authors shall provide publication details and deposit full text copies of research outputs, i.e. Accepted peer-reviewed journal articles and conference proceedings (with ISBN number) within three months after the date of acceptance for publication/ presentation.
- b. A standardized institutional affiliation BLDE(Deemed to be University),Vijayapur must be utilized by authors in all research output to ensure clear affiliation with the BLDE (Deemed to be University).
- c. The policy applicable to all research outputs including those published by the Officers, Faculty, PhD scholars, Non-Teaching Staff and students as well. All must adhere to the guidelines for good publication practice to maintain academic integrity.

In an event of any misconduct like plagiarism on publication the author shall solely be responsible for such actions and its consequences. University supports the committee on publication ethics (COPE) code of conduct available at <http://publicationethics.org/>



1) Committee on Publication Guideline:

| Sl.No | Name of the Member | Designation |
|-------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | Dean Faculty of Medicine | Chairman. |
| 2 | Editor Journal (BLDEJHS) | Member |
| 3 | IQAC Coordinator | Member |
| 4 | External Expert | Member |
| 5 | Chief Librarian | Member |
| 6 | Dean R & D | Member secretary |

The Role and Responsibilities of the Publication Committee:

- a) To monitor the publications and ensuring quality.
- b) To ensure that all publications preserve the scientific integrity of the study.
- c) To review and suggest necessary revisions prior to the submission for publication.
- e) To avoid conflict of interest.
- f) To avoid duplication of other publications.
- f) To check plagiarism prior to the submission for publication.

Guidelines for Good Publication Practice (GPP)

For an effective management of publications and its responsibility these guidelines must be adhered during the process of publications. The University appeals all the faculty members, research associates, research scholars and students to adopt good publication practices and to maintain the academic integrity.

1. Objectives

This guideline has been laid down with the purpose of:

- 1.1. To purpose of ensuring good authorship practice among all faculty members and students of BLDE (Deemed to be University).
- 1.2. Illuminating the authorship attribution criteria for all research outputs contributed by the faculty members and students of BLDE (Deemed to be University).
- 1.3. Clarifying the authorship credit for publications in which more than one department /institution have contributed.

2. Scope

- 2.1. These guidelines are meant for all the faculty members, students, research Scholars, research associates of BLDE (Deemed to be University) who are engaged in the conduct of research in association with the university.
- 2.2. These guidelines do not address ownership of intellectual property, authorship of Copyright works, or inventions or patents.
- 2.3. It is not under the scope of this guideline to elaborate and guide the manuscript preparation as it is generally well defined by the individual journals.



3. Definition

For the purpose of this guideline, the few terms are defined as follows:

- 3.1. **Research:** a process of systematic original investigations carried out by collection and analyzing information to gain knowledge, to increase our understanding and insight about the phenomenon under the study.
- 3.2. **Publication:** a formal dissemination of research observations in a public domain in any format viz. Hardcopy, electronic, web-based or other tangible forms which can be easily understood. It includes but not restricted to referred and non-refereed books, journals, web-pages, creative works, technical papers, popular articles, etc. It does not include a dissertation or thesis of a student or research scholar.
- 3.3. **Written consent:** It is original hand-written document in the prescribed format with signatures communicated through e-mails, fax, scanned documents or electronic identifications as appropriate.
- 3.4. **Corresponding author:** The corresponding author one of the co-author nominated by agreement among all the authors who is responsible for communication between the publishers and co-authors. The corresponding author also holds the responsibility of maintaining records of authorship agreement.
- 3.5. **Authorship agreement:** a document to be signed by all the authors of a publication before communicating the manuscript to the publisher and modified accordingly if any modification in the authorship on a later stage and to be maintained by the corresponding author for all auditing purposes. (Annexure-1)

4. Guidelines on Authorship

4.1. Criteria for Authorship:

- 4.1.1. Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals" updated in December, 2013 by International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) have been adopted for framing Guidelines to define the qualifications for authors. Accordingly, authorship can be granted on following criteria:
 - (i) Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND
 - (ii) Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND
 - (iii) Final approval of the version to be published; AND



(iv) Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

4.1.2. In addition to being accountable for the parts of the work he or she has done an author should be able to identify which co-authors are responsible for specific parts of the work. Authors should also have confidence in the integrity of the contributions of co-authors.

4.1.3. Those who meet all four criteria for authorship, should be identified as authors and they should give written consent.

4.1.4. Any change in the author list should be approved by all authors including one being removed from the list. The corresponding author should act as a point of contact between the editor and the other authors and should inform and involve co-authors in major decisions about the publication (e.g. responding to reviewers' comments).

4.2. Criteria for Acknowledgement:

4.2.1. Those that meet fewer than these criteria or do not meet all four should be acknowledged.

4.2.2. The contributors those may be acknowledged include but not limited to staff, editorial assistants, medical writers, or other individuals given inputs for statistics, data collection, data entry, administrative support and scholarly advice.

4.2.3. As acknowledgment may imply endorsement by acknowledged individuals of a Study's data and conclusions, the corresponding author is advised to obtain a written permission from all acknowledged individuals for being acknowledged.

4.2.4 Due acknowledgement should be made to supporting staff/department, funding agency or help from other sources.

4.3. Criteria for unacceptable authorship:

4.3.1. Guest authors who are listed because of their seniority, reputation or supposed influence but do not meet accepted criteria for authorship.

4.3.2. Authors who all listed as a personal favor or in return for payment not meeting accepted authorship.

4.3.3. Ghost authors who meet accepted criteria but not included.

4.4. Authorship Order:

4.4.1. The members who have earned authorship should jointly decide their order and should submit the signed 'Authorship Consent Form' in the prescribed format.

4.4.2. In consultation with the co-authors, the lead author or corresponding author should decide the order of authorship at the beginning of project and he/she can

revise the decision as needed at the time of submission of manuscript for publication.

- 4.4.3. A student is usually listed as principal author on any multiple-authored article that is based primarily on the student's masters dissertation or doctoral thesis. In such cases, the guide/supervisor of the student can be the corresponding author.
- 4.4.4. In case of masters degrees by course work and undergraduate projects, the student may or may not be listed as a principal author, depending on his/her relative intellectual contribution to the research and final output.
- 4.4.5. In case of disputes if any about the order of authorship, 'The Head' of the department where the major work done should mediate an effort to resolve the dispute. If this is not successful, such mediation may be addressed by the Principal of the college. In cases that cannot be resolved, the lead author or the corresponding author in consultation with the Head of the Department / Principal will have the final authority to determine the order of authorship.

4.5. Authorship Credit:

- 4.5.1. All the research authors should be given credit for research the publications in the journals with impact factor (Thomson router). The method adopted must be revealed while officially, claiming for the credit of impact factors by an individual.
- (1) The "sequence-determines-credit" approach (SDC): The sequence of authors should reflect the declining importance of their contribution. As per the literature the first author should get credit for the whole impact (impact factor), the second author half, the third a third, and so forth, up to rank ten. When papers have more than ten authors, the contribution of each author from the tenth position onwards is then valued just 5%.
- (2) The "equal contribution" norm (EC): Authors use alphabetical sequence to acknowledge similar contributions or to avoid disharmony in collaborating groups. As per the literature suggests that the contribution of each author is valued as an equal proportion (impact divided by the number of all authors, but a minimum of 5%).
- (3) The "first-last-author-emphasis" norm (FLAE): In many labs, the importance of last authorship is well established. The literature suggests that the first author should get credit of the whole impact, the last author half, and the credit of the other authors is the impact divided by the number of all authors [as in (2)].
- (4) The "percent-contribution-indicated" approach (PCI): There is a trend to detail each author's contribution which is defined by several journals. This can also be used to quantify the credit of each author in terms of impact factor.

5. General Guidelines:

The publication could be original research articles, systematic reviews, short/brief communications/ Case reports/letters to editor/editorial or any other specific format of a journal.



5.1. Publishing in journals with impact factors and indexed in popular data bases:

- 5.1.1. All are encouraged to publish in any journal not listed in Dr Bealls list of predatory journals available at <http://scholarlyoa.com/2016/01/05/bealls-list-of-predatory-publishers-2016/>. This list has been periodically updated.
- 5.1.2 All the researchers of this university are encouraged to publish their research findings in the journals with impact factor published by “Thomson and Reuters” only, the information of which is available at www.journalmetrics.com
- 5.1.3. In a similar line, the researchers are expected to publish their research findings in the journals indexed in any one of the following data bases. viz., Medline, PubMed Central, Science Citation Index, Embase/ Excerpta Medica, Scopus, Cochrane, Web of Science and IndMed.
- 5.1.4. Additional requirements as deemed by the regulatory authorities like MCI/DCI/PCI/UGC/NAAC, etc. prevailing at that time will be applicable over and above the above stipulated guidelines considered by editors as a genuine list to identify fake/predatory journals and publishers. prevailing at that time will be applicable over and above the above stipulated guidelines.

5.2. Official credits and weightage on publications for career advancement:

- 5.2.1. Except for the individual credits, (E.g.: seeking promotion for higher position, individual profile, etc.) the co-authors are not entitled to submit the publication details to the department/college for the overall data base.
- 5.2.2. Only the first author or corresponding author is entitled to submit the publication details to the department/college for updating the data base and for any quality audit purposes viz. inspections, etc. (This is to avoid multiple entry of the same publication in the data base when more than one author from the same department or from more than one department).
- 5.2.3. If the student remains the first author, then the credit of the publication by default will be given to the department to which the guide/supervisor belongs to irrespective of whether he/she is a corresponding author or not.

5.3. Communicating the status of publication(s) with documentary evidence:

- 5.3.1. The researchers need to officially intimate about their publication(s) to their department(s) / college(s) during the statuses of both articles accepted for publication” and articles published.

5.4. Rights reserved for publication during faculty-student collaboration in research:

- 5.4.1. The output of the research work carried out by the students/research scholars/research associates should not be submitted for

publication without the consultation and consent from their respective research supervisor/guide.

- 5.4.2. If the student fails to publish/refuse for authorship within a period of six months from the completion of his/her course, and it is believed that the student will not publish the work in near future and or where the work would be no longer be novel and publishable due to delay in the publication process, the outcome of the research can be communicated for publication by the respective research supervisor/guide as a principal author with all reasonable attempts to inform the student for the intention to do so.

5.5. Due affiliation to be given to BLDE (Deemed to be University), Vijayapur.

- 5.5.1. All the authors who publish their research findings should mention, “BLDE(Deemed to be University), Vijayapur – 586103, India” in the affiliation section apart from their college/department as the case may be.

5.6. Publication repository of BLDE(Deemed to be University):

- 5.6.1. Wherever the copyright agreement between the authors and the publishers allows, the articles published with the affiliation of BLDE(Deemed to be University), Vijayapur should be submitted as an electronic copy / print copy as the case may be to the Publication repository of BLDE(Deemed to be University)” as soon as possible after the publication.
- 5.6.2. Other research outputs like book chapters and books can also be deposited in this repository.
- 5.6.3. If the agreement mentions about a restriction period for the open access of a publication item, then it can be deposited after the expiry of the expiry period.
- 5.6.4. Number of publishers allow the authors to deposit their own “author final version” of their work in institutional repositories. It is responsibility of the corresponding author to ensure that the publisher agreement permits deposit in online repositories.
- 5.6.5. Under no circumstances the authors are required to deposit their publications in the repository in contravention to the copy right agreements made by them.
- 5.6.6. By meeting the criteria of these guidelines, if an authors wants to deposit their publications in the repository, he or she can e-mail the same (preferably in PDF format) to the IQAC coordinator of the respective colleges/departments.

6. Approval by the Ethical Committee:

- 6.1. All original research articles involving human or animal subjects shall obtained an ethics committee approval prior to publication.
- 6.2. All case reports should have patient consent form and if patients image is being used then patients consent for use of his/her image is mandatory.



7. Plagiarism check

- 7.1. All publications shall undergo a plagiarism check through cloud based web tool “**URKUND**” installed by BLDE(Deemed to be University) and the details shall be sought from the Anti- Plagiarism Committee of the University.
- 7.2. In the event of any adverse event like plagiarism arises following a publication the author shall solely be responsible for such actions taken by the editor of the journal.

8. Violation of Guidelines:

- 8.1. Any violation from the content of these guidelines by knowingly, intentionally and recklessly will be considered as research misconduct.
- 8.2. Such act will be duly informed to the higher authorities of the college and university for necessary actions.
- 8.3. Violations of the content of these guidelines that do not rise to the level of research misconduct will demand a corrective action or other sanctions by the individual as deemed by the higher officials of the Institution/university.

9. Following the Regulations:

- 9.1. The nomenclature of the departments should be strictly followed as per the MCI, DCI and PCI Regulations/ BLDE (Deemed to be University) Regulations.
- 9.2. Additional requirements as deemed by the National and International regulatory Authorities like UGC/AICTE/ MCI/DCI/PCI etc. And BLDE (Deemed to be University) Regulations prevailing at that time will be applicable over and above the above stipulated guidelines.

10. Bibliography

Vancouver Citation Style

The Vancouver Style is the citation style used by most biomedical journals and many scientific journals. It came out of a meeting of medical journal editors in 1978, held in Vancouver, BC, and is maintained by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE). It is also known as the Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals.

10.1. Vancouver Citation Style

The Vancouver Style is the citation style used by most biomedical journals and many scientific journals. It came out of a meeting of medical journal editors in 1978, held in Vancouver, BC, and is maintained by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE). It is also known as the Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals.



a) Additional Resources on the Vancouver Style

For the complete guide to the Vancouver Style, please consult this online book: **Citing Medicine, 2nd ed.**

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/bv.fcgi?rid=citmed.TOC&depth=2>

This book provides very detailed examples for almost any type and variation of resource: conference papers, wikis, journal articles with a supplement, etc.

b) Using Vancouver Style

Vancouver Style uses in-text citations and a Reference List at the end of your document. Citations within the text of your paper are identified with a number in round brackets. **Example:** Jones (8) has argued that...

References are numbered consecutively in the order they are first used in the text. The full citations will be included in the Reference List at the end of your document, with matching numbers identifying each reference. When multiple references are cited together, use a hyphen to indicate a series of inclusive numbers. Use commas to indicate a series of non-inclusive numbers. A citation with these references (4,5,6,7,14,19) is abbreviated to (4-7,14,19).

Example: Multiple clinical trials (4-6,9) show...

The original number used for a reference is reused each time the reference is cited.

Example: "...the theory was first put forward by Lee (7) in 1999, but there was disagreement (3,5,8) over its importance."

Include the page number for any direct quotes or specific ideas.

Example: "...has been proven demonstrably false." (4, p23)

The citation in brackets is placed after any commas and periods, and before any colons and semi-colons.

Example: ...a new definition. (13, p111-2) ...this option is preferred (11);

c) Indirect Citations

An indirect source is when one author is quoted in the text of another author. These types of citations are generally not accepted in Vancouver Style, so seek permission from your instructor. Include the author and date of the original source in the text. Use "as cited in" or "as discussed in" to say where you found the quotation and provide the citation to that reference.

Example: James Wallace (2001) argued (cited by 5, p26), that...

d) Reference List

Provide full citations in your Reference List, included starting as a **new page** at the end of your document. Follow the examples included in this guide for different types of resources:

- Books
- Articles in Journals
- Websites

Annexure - 10

- Other Resources
- Personal Communication

e) Books

Standard Format for Books:



Author Surname Initials. Title: subtitle. Edition (if not the first). Place of publication: Publisher; Year.

i. Book with One Author or Editor:

1. Mason J. Concepts in dental public health. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2005.
2. Ireland R, editor. Clinical textbook of dental hygiene and therapy. Oxford: Blackwell Munksgaard; 2006.

ii. Two-Six Authors/Editors:

- 1.. Miles DA, Van Dis ML, Williamson GF, Jensen CW. Radiographic imaging for the dental team. 4th ed. St. Louis: Saunders Elsevier; 2009.
2. Dionne RA, Phero JC, Becker DE, editors. Management of pain and anxiety in the dental office. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 2002.

iii. More than Six Authors/Editors:

1. Fauci AS, Braunwald E, Kasper DL, Hauser SL, Longo DL, Jameson JL, et al., editors. Harrison's principles of internal medicine. 17th ed. New York: McGraw Hill; 2008.

iv. Organization as Author:

1. Canadian Dental Hygienists Association. Dental hygiene: definition and scope. Ottawa: Canadian Dental Hygienists Association; 1995.

v. No Author/Editor:

1. Scott's Canadian dental directory 2008. 9th ed. Toronto: Scott's Directories; 2007.

vi. Government Document:

1. Canada. Environmental Health Directorate. Radiation protection in dentistry: recommended safety procedures for the use of dental x-ray equipment. Safety Code 30. Ottawa: Ministry of Health; 2000.

vii. Chapter in a book:

1. Alexander RG. Considerations in creating a beautiful smile. In: Romano R, editor. The art of the smile. London: Quintessence Publishing; 2005. p. 187-210.

viii. E-book:

Irfan A. Protocols for predictable aesthetic dental restorations [Internet]. Oxford: Blackwell Munksgaard; 2006 [cited 2009 May 21]. Available from Netlibrary: <http://ccslw2.vcc.ca:2048/login?url=http://www.netLibrary.com/urlapi.asp?action=summary&v=1&bookid=181691>



ix. Multiple Authors:

Cite authors in the same way for all types of resources: articles, websites, videos, etc. List up to the first 6 authors/editors, and use "et al." for any additional authors.

x. Articles in Journals:

Journal articles can be accessed in three different ways: (1) from the print (paper) copy; (2) from the journal's website; or (3) from an online article database like Medline. You will cite the article differently depending on how you accessed it.

xi. Standard Format for Journal Articles:

Author Surname Initials. Title of article. Title of journal, abbreviated. Date of Publication: Volume Number(Issue Number): Page Numbers.

xii. Journal Article in Print:

1. Haas AN, de Castro GD, Moreno T, Susin C, Albandar JM, Oppermann RV, et al. Azithromycin as a adjunctive treatment of aggressive periodontitis: 12-months randomized clinical trial. J Clin Periodontol. 2008 Aug; 35(8):696-704.

Xiii Journal Article from a Website:

1. Tasdemir T, Yesilyurt C, Ceyhanli KT, Celik D, Er K. Evaluation of apical filling after root canal filling by 2 different techniques. J Can Dent Assoc [Internet]. 2009 Apr [cited 2009 Jun 14];75(3):[about 5pp.]. Available from: <http://www.cda-adc.ca/jcda/vol-75/issue-3/201.html>

xiv. Journal Article from an Online Database:

1. Erasmus S, Luiters S, Brijlal P. Oral hygiene and dental student's knowledge, attitude and behaviour in managing HIV/AIDS patients. Int J Dent Hyg [Internet]. 2005 Nov [cited 2009 Jun 16];3(4):213-7. Available from Medline: <http://ccslw2.vcc.ca:2048/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=cmedm&AN=16451310&site=ehost-live>
2. Monajem S. Integration of oral health into primary health care: the role of dental hygienists and the WHO stewardship. Int J Dent Hyg [Internet]. 2006 Feb [cited 2009 Jun 21];4(1): 47-52.
Available from CINAHL with Full Text: <http://tinyurl.com/kudbxw>

f. Websites:

Standard Format for Websites:

Author Surname Initials (if available). Title of Website [Internet]. Place of publication: Publisher; Date of First Publication [Date of last update; cited date]. Available from: URL.

Website with Author:

1. Fehrenbach MJ. Dental hygiene education [Internet]. [Place unknown]: Fehrenbach and Associates; 2000 [updated 2009 May 2; cited 2009 Jun 15]. Available from: <http://www.dhed.net/Main.html>



Website without Author:

1. American Dental Hygienists' Association [Internet]. Chicago: American Dental Hygienists' Association; 2009 [cited 2009 May 30]. Available from: <http://www.adha.org/>

Part / Article within a Website:

1. Medline Plus [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): U.S. National Library of Medicine; c2009. Dental health; 2009 May 06 [cited 2009 Jun 16]; [about 7 screens]. Available from: <http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/dentalhealth.html>

Blog

1. Skariah H. The tooth booth dental blog [Internet]. Mississauga (ON): Hans Skariah; 2004 - [cited 2009 Jun 20]. Available from: <http://dentaldude.blogspot.com/>

An Entry / Article within a Blog

1. Skariah II. The tooth booth dental blog [Internet]. Mississauga (ON): Hans Skariah; 2004
Dental did you know: breastfeeding duration and non-nutritive sucking habits; 2009 May 18 [cited 2009 Jun 20]; [about 1 screen]. Available from: <http://dentaldude.blogspot.com/2009/05/dental-did-you-know-breastfeeding.html>

Image on the Internet

1. McCourtie SD, World Bank. SDM-LK-179 [image on the Internet]. 2009 Apr 29 [cited 2009 Jun 14]. Available from: <http://www.flickr.com/photos/worldbank/3486672699/>

g. Other Resources:

Newspaper Articles

1. Fayerman P. Women must now wait to 40 for publicly paid amnio test. Vancouver Sun. 2009 Jun 9; Sect. A:5.
2. Health Canada issues warning over fake toothbrushes. The Globe and Mail [Internet]. 2009 April 10 [cited 2009 Jun 23]. Available from: <http://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/national/health-canada-issues-warning-overfaketoothbrushes/article973190/>
3. Waldman D. Mouth is 'window on the rest of the body': oral health, dental hygiene is linked to more than teeth, gums. The National Post [Internet]. 2009 Apr 14 [cited 2009 Jun 22]. Available from Canadian Newsstand: <http://cclsw2.vcc.ca:2048/login?url=http://proquest.umi.com/pqdweb?did=1680306071&sid=1&Fmt=3&clientId=6965&RQT=309&VName=PQD>

Video recordings

1. Dental dam: still the best dry-field technique [DVD]. Provo (UT): Practical Clinical Courses; 2007.
2. Cuaron A, director; Abraham M, producer. Children of men [DVD]. Universal City (CA): Universal; 2006.



Dictionary, Encyclopedia or Similar Reference Book Unsigned:

1. Mosby's dental dictionary. 2nd ed. St. Louis: Mosby Elsevier; 2008. Frenotomy; p. 273.

Signed (and Online)

1. Murchison DF. Dental emergencies. In: Merck Manual of Diagnosis and Therapy [Internet]. 18th ed. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck; 2009 [last modified 2009 Mar; cited 2009 Jun 23]. Available from: <http://www.merck.com/mmpe/sec08/ch096/ch096a.html?qt=dental&alt=sh>

h. Personal**Communications:****Personal Letters and Conversations:**

Personal communication (with the exception of email) should not be included in the Reference List, as they are unpublished and cannot be easily traced by the reader. Instead, acknowledge personal conversations and letters within the text in parentheses.

Conversation

The conversation with a fellow student from the Dental Hygiene program (Affleck, Ben. Conversation with: Matt Damon. 2008 Sep 07.)."

Personal Letter

"This information was later confirmed in a letter (Hepburn, Katherine. Letter to: Spencer Tracy. 2005 Mar 03. 4 pages.)."

Personal Letters and Conversations

Personal communication (with the exception of email) should not be included in the Reference List, as they are unpublished and cannot be easily traced by the reader. Instead, acknowledge personal conversations and letters within the text in parentheses.

Conversation

"...in conversation with a fellow student from the Dental Hygiene program (Affleck, Ben. Conversation with: Matt Damon. 2008 Sep 07.)."

Personal Letter

"...this information was later confirmed in a letter (Hepburn, Katherine. Letter to: Spencer Tracy. 2005 Mar 03. 4 pages.)."

Email

Email correspondence is included in the Reference List as emails are easily traceable and dated. Bloom, Orlando. Searching Medline for dental hygiene articles [Internet]. Message to: Johnny Depp. 2008 Nov 11 [cited 2009 Jun 22]. [3 paragraphs].

Permission

When citing any personal communication, you must have written permission from the cited person(s) to use that communication.
Acknowledge the permission in a footnote or in a “Notes” section at the end of the text.

(REFERENCE: Vancouver Community College Library)



REGISTRAR

BLDE (Deemed to be University)
Vijayapura-586103. Karnataka



**BLDE
(DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)**

Annexure-1

Authorship Consent Form

1. Title of publication communicated

2. Name of the journal/publisher

2. Type of publication (E.g.: research article, review article, book, book chapter, etc.)

3. Order of authors

| Author No. | Name of the author(s) as appears in communication | Name of the Department and College |
|------------|---|------------------------------------|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |

4. Details of substantial intellectual contribution made by individual author for the

| Author(s) | Contribution |
|-----------|--------------|
| Author 1 | |
| Author 2 | |
| Author 3 | |
| Author 4 | |
| Author 5 | |

research work and manuscript preparation.



5. Authorship credit system* agreed upon (make a tick mark in any one check box)

- ☐ Sequence-Determines-Credit (SDC)
☐ Equal Contribution (EC)
☐ First-Last-Author-Emphasis (FLAE)
☐ Percent-Contribution-Indicated (PCI)

* Refer guideline 4.5. of the „Good Publication Practice“ document for details.

Corresponding author details

| Name communicated | as | Affiliation | Contact details(Tel/Mobil No/Email ID) |
|----------------------|----|-------------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

6.The overall credit of this publication to be given to the following department/institution for any audit purpose (E.g.: inspection, etc.)

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

7. Declaration

I agree that the individuals listed in item no.3 deserve the authorship for the publication mentioned in item no.1 communicated to the journal mentioned in item no.2

I confirm that I have made substantial contribution to this research/publication as mentioned in item no.5.

I also declare that the information given here are verified by me and agree the content.

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| Author No. | Name of the Author(S) as appears in Communication |
| | Signature: |
| | Date: |

